

Novel microsatellite loci for the burrowing owl *Athene cunicularia*

Alberto Macías-Duarte · Courtney J. Conway ·
Adrian Munguia-Vega · Melanie Culver

Received: 12 November 2009 / Accepted: 17 November 2009
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2009

Abstract The breeding distribution of western burrowing owl is experiencing an intriguing southward shift, contrary to the predictions of climate change. To determine the breeding dispersal patterns underlying this distributional change, we developed 11 novel polymorphic microsatellite loci for the species. We tested these loci in two burrowing owl breeding populations, one from central Sinaloa, Mexico, and one from the Central Valley of California, USA. All loci were at Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, except two loci for the California population. Expected heterozygosity was relatively high ($H_E = 0.813$, range 0.515–0.942). Average number of alleles was 11.64 (range 5–25). We found no evidence of linkage disequilibrium for any pairwise tests between loci.

Keywords Burrowing owl · *Athene cunicularia* · Microsatellites · Sinaloa · California

The western burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia hypugaea*) has undergone an intriguing distributional change since the mid twentieth century. While many avian distributions in North America are shifting northwards in response to climate change (Hitch and Leberg 2007; La Sorte and Thompson 2007), the breeding distribution of the burrowing owl is shifting in the opposite direction. Burrowing owl populations near the northern edge of the species' breeding

range in southern Canada and northern United States are declining or even disappearing (Wellcome and Holroyd 2001; Klute et al. 2003; Conway and Pardieck 2006). Because of these persistent population declines, the species has been legally protected in Canada, Mexico and the United States (Klute et al. 2003). Paradoxically, burrowing owl populations in irrigated agricultural valleys of the Sonoran desert of California and Arizona have increased during the second half of the twentieth century (Sauer et al. 2008) and may now support the highest breeding densities in the species' range (DeSante et al. 2004). The breeding distribution of burrowing owls has also expanded southwards into coastal Sonora and Sinaloa in northwestern Mexico, where recent agricultural development of coastal thornscrub has created suitable breeding habitat in an area that formerly only supported wintering owls (Enriquez-Rocha 1997). Breeding densities in the agricultural areas of coastal Sonora and Sinaloa appear to be as high as those in the Imperial Valley of California. We developed and characterized 11 new microsatellite loci to estimate migration rates among burrowing owl populations in North America and determine the breeding dispersal patterns underlying this odd distributional change. The addition of these 11 loci more than doubles the existing set of seven microsatellite loci for this species (Korfanta et al. 2002).

We constructed an enriched genomic DNA library using a modified version of a published protocol (Glenn and Schable 2005). We isolated genomic DNA using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen®) from $<25 \mu\text{L}$ of blood collected from 10 owls captured in US Army Pueblo Chemical Depot, Fort Carson Army Base, and Buckley Air Force Base, Colorado. We mixed all DNA from these 10 individuals. We digested DNA with RsaI (NEB®) and ligated fragments to double-stranded SuperSNX-24 linkers (Glenn and Schable 2005). We recovered linker-ligated

A. Macías-Duarte (✉) · C. J. Conway · A. Munguia-Vega ·
M. Culver
USGS Arizona Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit,
School of Natural Resources and the Environment,
The University of Arizona, 325 Biological Sciences East,
Tucson, AZ 85721, USA
e-mail: alberto@email.arizona.edu

Table 1 Eleven microsatellite loci developed for the burrowing owl

Locus (GenBank accession no.)	Cloned repeat	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Size range (bp)	Clone size (bp)	Sinaloa (n = 40)			California (n = 40)		
					<i>N_A</i>	<i>H_O</i>	<i>H_E</i>	<i>N_A</i>	<i>H_O</i>	<i>H_E</i>
ATCU04 (GU167941) (CA) ₃ TG(CA) ₁₈		F: TTCATGGTTTATGATCTGACTTC R: AGCCATTCCCTTCAGTCTTC	349–367	335	5	0.500	0.515	10	0.800	0.764
ATCU06 (GU167942) (CT) ₈ CA(CT) ₁₃		F: GAAATGGAAGGAGGAGTGC R: GCCATCCCTAATGCTGTG	201–255	199	15	0.925	0.888	13	0.875	0.863
ATCU08 (GU167943) (CA) ₂₀		F: GCCCTCATATCATTAAGATCCTTC R: GGATTGTCATTCCTCCCTCAG	223–293	211	25	0.925	0.942	25	0.925	0.942
ATCU13 (GU167944) (GT) ₁₇		F: ACCCGAGTGCTCTAGTCAG R: GTTGTGAAGCGAGGGATG	222–258	221	10	0.725	0.821	10	0.775	0.733
ATCU20 (GU167945) (CA) ₁₅		F: GTTGCCATCATAGCAGCAG R: GCCAGATAACTACCCCAAATG	171–197	154	11	0.900	0.881	11	0.925	0.875
ATCU28 (GU167946) (GT) ₁₀ AT(GT) ₉		F: CAGTGTCAAGAGTCAAAGACATGC R: TGGAGAGGTTAGGGCTAGG	328–352	312	10	0.875	0.833	9	0.775	0.800
ATCU36 (GU167947) (GT) ₁₃		F: TTGCACAGAAAATCCTGAGTC R: AACAAAGAGTTACCTGAAGAGATGC	397–413	374	8	0.725	0.812	7	0.675	0.682
ATCU39 (GU167948) (GT) ₁₈		F: GTGTGGTTGCCTCACATC R: AACATCCAGGAAACAAGATGC	159–189	160	13	0.800	0.851	13	0.725	0.848
ATCU41 (GU167949) (CA) ₁₂		F: AGAGATAGTAGTTAGGGTAGGCTC R: ACGACACTCTAGCACGTTG	201–223	188	7	0.725	0.768	9	0.550	0.728
ATCU43 (GU167950) (CA) ₁₉		F: GATCAGCTGCAGCAAAGG R: GGGAGATGTTGAGGAAATCG	174–212	174	14	0.825	0.843	12	0.900	0.821
ATCU45 (GU167951) (GATA) ₈ GGTA (GATA) ₂		F: CTACCGAGCAGTGACAGTTG R: GGGTGGACAGTCCCTCATTC	242–282	215	9	0.775	0.824	10	0.800	0.847

Number of alleles (*N_A*), and the observed (*H_O*) and expected (*H_E*) heterozygosities are shown for populations in central Sinaloa, Mexico and in Naval Air Station Lemoore, California. All individuals successfully amplified for all loci. The two boldface *H_E* values denote loci that deviated significantly from HWE

fragments ranging from 300 to 1,400 bp using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), SuperSNX-24 forward primer, and Platinum high-fidelity Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen[®]) to create a PCR library. We hybridized these recovered fragments to 5'-biotinylated microsatellite oligonucleotide probes (GT)₁₅, (CT)₁₅, (GATA)₁₀, and (GACA)₈. We captured hybridized fragments on streptavidin-coated paramagnetic beads (Dynal[®]) and recovered these fragments by PCR. We immediately ligated fragments into the vector PCR4-TOPO (Invitrogen[®]) and transformed them into TOP10 chemically competent *Escherichia coli* cells (Invitrogen[®]) following the manufacturer's protocol. We directly amplified and sequenced 273 colonies in both directions using M13 primers on an Applied Biosystems 3730XL DNA Analyzer using the BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems[®]). Seventy-seven clones contained microsatellite sequences. We designed 45 primer pairs out of the 77 sequences using program Primer 3 (Rozen and Skaletsky 2000), with 11 polymorphic loci successfully amplifying

(Table 1). We labeled forward primers with universal M13 primers at the 5' end (Schuelke 2000). We designed reverse primers with a 'pig-tail' at the 5' end to reduce variability in adenylation of amplification products (Brownstein et al. 1996). We performed PCR reactions in a 15 µL volume containing 10–50 ng genomic DNA, 1X PCR buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.4, 50 mM KCl, Invitrogen[®]), 0.2 mM each dNTP, 0.02 µM unlabelled M13-tailed forward primer, 0.2 µM reverse pig-tailed primer, 0.2 µM fluorescently labeled M13 primer, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.4 U Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen[®]), and 0.02% BSA. We used a unique touchdown protocol for all loci consisting of an initial denaturation at 94°C for 4 min followed by 10 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 60–52°C for 90 s (2°C decrease every two cycles), extension at 72°C for 30 s, followed by 30 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 50°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension of 7 min at 72°C. We analyzed PCR products on an Applied Biosystems 3730 Genetic Analyzer and scored alleles using Applied Biosystems Genotyper 3.7. We used program

Tandem (Matschiner and Salzburger 2009) to assign integers to DNA fragment sizes. We calculated observed and expected heterozygosities and deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) using MS Excel[®] macro Genalex (Peakall and Smouse 2006). We calculated genotypic linkage disequilibrium with program Genepop (Raymond and Rousset 1995; Rousset 2008) using the Fisher's method. We used program Micro-Checker (Van Oosterhout et al. 2004) to detect the presence of null alleles and estimate their frequencies (Chakraborty et al. 1992). We performed statistical analyses with an $\alpha = 0.05$ adjusted for multiple comparisons through sequential Bonferroni tests (Rice 1989).

We genotyped 40 non-related owls from breeding populations in irrigated agricultural areas near Culiacán, in the Mexican State of Sinaloa, and 40 non-related owls from Naval Air Station Lemoore, in the Central Valley of California, USA. Average observed and expected heterozygosities were 0.791 and 0.816 for the Sinaloa population, and 0.793 and 0.809 for the California population, respectively (Table 1). Mean number of alleles was 11.73 (range 5–25) and 11.55 (range 7–25) for the Sinaloa and California populations, respectively. All loci were in HWE in both populations, except loci ATCU39 and ATCU41, which showed a deficit of heterozygotes in the California population (Table 1). Micro-Checker suggested the presence of null alleles at ATCU39 and ATCU41 for the California population, with frequencies of 0.0781 and 0.1396, respectively. We found no evidence of linkage disequilibrium for any pairwise tests between loci.

This set of 11 polymorphic microsatellite loci will provide a high resolution for testing different breeding dispersal patterns across North America that could explain the observed distributional changes described above. Particularly, we will test if migratory burrowing owls from declining populations near the northern edge of the species' breeding range are becoming resident breeders in the irrigated agricultural valleys of the arid southwestern United States and northwestern Mexico.

Acknowledgments We thank the US Department of Defense (DoD), National Council of Science and Technology of Mexico (CONACYT), iPlant Collaborative, Russell E. Train Education for Nature Program of the World Wildlife Fund, US National Park Service, American Ornithologists' Union, University of Arizona, Sonoran Joint Venture of the US Fish and Wildlife Service, Silliman Memorial Research Award, T&E, Inc., Wallace Research Foundation, Tinker Foundation, William A. Calder III Memorial Scholarship, and the International Wildlife Foundation for financial support. We thank the Secretaría del Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales of Mexico, and the US Fish and Wildlife Service for issuing scientific collection permits. We thank Edith Torres and Sergio Sanchez from the University of Sinaloa and DoD personnel from US Army Pueblo Chemical Depot, Fort Carson Army Base, Buckley Air Force Base, and Naval Air Station Lemoore for logistical support. Zoe Hackl, Karla Pelz, and Judith Ramirez provided substantial laboratory assistance.

References

- Brownstein MJ, Carpten D, Smith JR (1996) Modulation of nontemplated nucleotide addition by Taq DNA polymerase: primer modifications that facilitate genotyping. *BioTechniques* 20: 1004–1010
- Chakraborty R, DeAndrade M, Daiger SP, Budowle B (1992) Apparent heterozygote deficiencies observed in DNA typing data and their implications in forensic applications. *Ann Hum Genet* 56:45–57
- Conway CJ, Pardieck KL (2006) Population trajectory of burrowing owls in eastern Washington. *Northwest Sci* 80:292–297
- DeSante DF, Ruhlen ED, Rosenberg DK (2004) Density and abundance of burrowing owls in the agricultural matrix of the Imperial Valley, California. *Stud Avian Biol* 27:116–119
- Enriquez-Rocha PL (1997) Seasonal records of the burrowing owl in Mexico. In: Lincer J, Steenhof K (eds) *The burrowing owl, its biology and management including the Proceedings of the First International Burrowing Owl Symposium*. Raptor Research Report 9, pp 49–51
- Glenn TC, Schable NA (2005) Isolating microsatellite DNA loci. *Methods Enzymol* 395:202–222
- Hitch AT, Leberg PL (2007) Breeding distributions of North American bird species moving north as a result of climate change. *Conserv Biol* 21:534–539
- Klute DS, Ayers LW, Green MT, Howe WH, Jones SL, Shaffer JA, Sheffield SR, Zimmerman TS (2003) Status assessment and conservation plan for the Western burrowing owl in the United States. US Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC
- Korfanta NM, Schable NA, Glenn TC (2002) Isolation and characterization of microsatellite DNA primers in burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*). *Mol Ecol Notes* 2:584–585
- La Sorte FA, Thompson FR (2007) Poleward shifts in winter ranges of North American birds. *Ecology* 88:1803–1812
- Matschiner M, Salzburger W (2009) TANDEM: integrating automated allele binning into genetics and genomics workflows. *Bioinformatics* 25:1982–1983
- Peakall R, Smouse PE (2006) GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Mol Ecol Notes* 6:288–295
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) Genepop (version-1.2)—population-genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *J Hered* 86:248–249
- Rice WR (1989) Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution* 43:223–225
- Rousset F (2008) GENEPOL '07: a complete re-implementation of the GENEPOL software for Windows and Linux. *Mol Ecol Resour* 8:103–106
- Rozen S, Skaletsky H (2000) Primer 3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. In: Krawetz S, Misener S (eds) *Bioinformatics methods and protocols: methods in molecular biology*. Human Press, Totowa, pp 365–386
- Sauer JR, Hines JE, Fallon J (2008) The North American Breeding Bird Survey, Results and Analysis 1966–2007. Version 5.15.2008. USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, Laurel, MD
- Schuelke M (2000) An economic method for the fluorescent labeling of PCR fragments. *Nat Biotechnol* 18:233–234
- Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P (2004) MICRO-CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Mol Ecol Notes* 4: 535–538
- Wellcome TI, Holroyd GL (2001) The second international burrowing owl symposium: background and context. *J Raptor Res* 35:269–273