Function	Citation	Recommended Width/Range
	Corley et. al. 1999	>33 ft
	Nichols et. al. 1998	>60 ft
	Woodward and Rock 1995	>50 ft
	Desbonnet et. al. 1994	80 ft
	Peterson et. al. 1992	>33 ft
	Castelle et. al. 1992	>50 ft
	Schellinger and Clausen 1992	75 ft
	Welsch 1991	>85 ft
	Dillaha et. al. 1989	>30 ft
	Gilliam and Skaggs 1988	290 ft- 50% sediment deposition
Sediment and Nutrient	Budd et. al. 1987	50 ft
Reduction	Jacobs and Gilliam 1985	50 ft
THE REPORT OF STREET	Lynch et. al. 1985	98 ft
	Erman et. al. 1983	98 ft
	Lowrance 1984	60-120 ft
	Moring 1982	98 ft
A CONTROL AGE CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	Children Billione Marketing Company and Children Board of the Company of the Studies Lie	80 ft
	Young et. al. 1980 Erman et. al. 1977	98 ft
	TO ANNA CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	
	Karr and Scholosser 1977	75% removal 98-125 ft
	Broderson 1973	50-200 ft (one tree height)
	Wilson 1967	49 ft (silt), and 400 ft (clay)
Removal of Fecal Coliform	Johnson and Ryba 1992*	75-300 ft
a december of the contract of the party of the	Lynch and Corbett 1990	100 ft
Moderation of Stream	Jones et. al. 1988	100-140 ft
Towns of Stream	Lynch et. al. 1985	98 ft
Temperature/Microclimate	Steinblums et. al. 1984	75-125 ft for 60-80% shade
	Hewlet and Fortson 1982	50-100 ft
A Company of the Comp	Marcus 2002	4X bankfull width
Moderation of Stream Temperature/Microclimate Channel Complexity	Brosofske et. al. 1997	>145 ft
	Chapel et. al. 1992	135-220 ft
	Lynch et. al. 1985	65-100 ft
TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY O	Ligon et. al. 1999	>150 ft
Salmonid Habitat	USFS/BLM 1994	300 ft
	Welsch 1991	>85ft
Reptile/Amphibian Habitat		A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
	Burbink et. al. 1998	>325 ft
	Semlitsch 1998	5 4 0 1 t
	Buhimann 1998	440 1
	Rudolph and Dickson 1990	98 ft
Bird Habitat Mammal Habitat Plant Diversity	RHJV 2000	250 ft
	Whitaker and Montevechi 1999	>160 ft
	Hagar 1999	>130 ft
	Kilgo et. al. 1998	>1600 ft
	Richardson and Miller 1997	>160
	Mitchell 1996	>325 ft
	Hodges and Krementz 1996	>325.ft
	Spackman and Hughes 1995	450 ft for 90% of species diversity
Manuallibitet		
Mammal Habitat Plant Diversity	Dickson 1989	>160 ft
Figill Diversity	Spackman and Hughes 1995	30-100 ft for 90% of species
	Levey et. al. 2002	>80 ft
	Levey et. al. 2002 NH FSSWT 2000	>80 ft 100 ft, 300 ft, 600 ft by stream order
General Riparian/Ecosystem	Levey et. al. 2002 NH FSSWT 2000 Spence et. al. 1996	>80 ft
	Levey et. al. 2002 NH FSSWT 2000	>80 ft 100 ft, 300 ft, 600 ft by stream order
General Riparian/Ecosystem	Levey et. al. 2002 NH FSSWT 2000 Spence et. al. 1996	>80 ft 100 ft, 300 ft, 600 ft by stream order 98-145 ft

^{*}article does not present new data, but instead is a review of existing data

Local Setback Ordinances Curre	ently in Buffer Width
Effect or Proposed	30 (NOOT THE DIEWDOOW)
Sonoma County	upland/urban = 50ft Russian River = 200ft flatland/valleys = 100ft
Marin County	coastal/rural = 100ft urban = 50ft
Humbolt County	100 ft perennial streams 50 ft intermittent streams
Santa Cruz County	50 ft no development zone on perennial streams 30 ft no development zone on intermittent streams
Contra Costa County	Development near Natural Creeks and Streams new urban development = 50 ft buildings = 30-50 ft (depending on site specific calculations) intensification of cattle grazing = 100 ft (as part of discretionary use permit)
Santa Clara County (proposed)	150ft on all streams draining watersheds ≥ 1mi² (320 acres), unclear on smaller drainages
Solano County HCP	Lead agency is proposing a minimum 100 ft setback from top of bank or edge of existing riparian vegetation, whichever is greater on all 3 rd order or higher streams
City of Palo Alto	100 ft buffer zone for any development other than single family residential
City of Santa Cruz	all watercourses = 100ft

Selected Setback Ordinances in Effect Elsewhere in the U.S.

Clackamas County, Oregon	Principal River 100 -150 ft from MHW Large Streams - 100 ft from MHW
	Medium Stream - 70 ft from MHW Small Stream - 50 ft from MHW
Cobb County, Georgia	50-200 ft depending on the size of the watershed
Lane County, Oregon	Large Streams w/ T&E species = 150 ft Other streams with T&E species = 125 ft Fish-bearing streams w/o T&E = 50-100 ft
West Mark House and Asset Seems	Sensitive Streams:
Lexana County, Kansas	Stream order 1 = 150 ft
	Stream order 2 = 250 ft
	 Stream order 3 = 300 ft

	Restorable Streams:
	Stream order 1 = 125 ft
	Stream order 2 = 200 ft
	Stream order 3 = 250 ft
	Impacted Streams:
	Stream order 1 = 100 ft
	Stream order 2 = 150 ft
	 Stream order 3 = 200 ft
<u> </u>	■ 150 ft - if property is outside urban growth
Kings County, Washington	area
Kings County, Washington	= 7 115 ft - if property is inside urban growth
NO. E 2 1	area
g) () () () () ()	Watershed >300 sq.mi. =300 ft
Summit County, Ohio	Watershed >20 sq. mi. = 100 ft
五 三 只 n	Watershed <.5 sq. mi.=30-75 ft
Suwanne River, Florida	75-250 ft depending on soil type

Generic Setbacks

Generic Setbacks	
EPA Ideal	100 ft minimum + slope variable 15-17%= +10 ft 18-20%= +30 ft 21-23%= +50 ft 24-25%= +60 ft
USFS, Northeastern Area Recommendations (Welsh 1991)	95 ft min (zone 1=15 ft; zone 2 min = 60; zone 3 min = 20 ft)
Storm Water Center	100 ft to 150 ft min (zone 1 = 25 ft min, zone 2 = 50 ft to 100 ft, zone 3 = 25 ft min)
Kondolf et. al. 1996	2 zones: inner zone is fixed, but based on veg. community type and energy; outer zone variable, but based on proximity to stream, hillside steepness, soil erodibility
NRCS .	general purpose buffer – min. 15 ft from top of bank or normal water line To reduce excess amounts of sediment, organic matter, nutrients, pesticides – 2 zones: min 100 ft or 30% of the geomorphic flood plain whichever is less, but not less than 35 ft
Oregon Forest Practices Act - Commercial Timber Harvesting on Private Forest Land	100 ft from fish bearing streams

