Monarch butterfly use of eucalyptus and native trees during winter months in central coastal California

Big Sur Ornithology Lab of Ventana Wilderness Society, Big Sur, California

Potential negative impacts of eucalyptus trees on monarch populations are not well understood. In this study we examined patterns of tree species use

Monarch Grove Sanctuary in Pacific Grove and a private property site in Big Sur. Monarch Grove Sanctuary is composed of Monterey pine, Monterey



We conducted surveys from November to March each year from winte 2001 to winter 2004. Monarch butterfly estimates were conducted in the while temperatures were low (usually below 13° C) and were still clustered. For every tree that contained clustered recorded the number of butterflies, tree spe umber, and location, and the aspect and height of clus ster size, we counted the number of butterflies in a a cluster and then extrapolated this count to arrive at a total te for the entire cluster. At least two observers were present at d storm events by using precipita



rvers estimating a cluster of mona















Native tree species are a critical resource for overwritering mohardris in Montery County, in response to writer some verst, monarch butterfiles often switch from blue gum eucalyptus to available native tree species, including Montery pine, Montery cypress, and/or coast redevoci. These native trees likely provide monarch butterfiles greater profection from gusty which and high fainfails compared to non-native eucalyptus.

Pine, cypress, and redwood trees may be more reallent to harsh coastal where weather than eucayptus, which tend to get blown violently with branches asaily increade to the ground. The needles of pine, cypress, and redwood may offer more protection from wind and precipitation, and may be easier for momenta-to to ching to than the more slipper yeaculyptus lawse. We through the area.

A clearer understanding of the relationship between western monarchs and their ownintering habitats is needed to develop scentrifically sound and successful monarch, at world as characteristic and the processing and the second scenario and the second scenario and the second treatment phenomenon" of monarch migration and overwintering Wells et al. 1983). Future studies should examine the effects of under speed and direction, sunlight intensity, and temperature on selection of roost trees relative to the emiting given.



Foremost, we are grateful to Helen Johnson for funding this project. We extend our appreciation to collaborators, Dr. Dennis Frey and Jason Scott, who were instrumental in laying the foundation for this work. We are also grateful to the many interns and volunteers who assisted in data co

- wer L. P., M. Monroe, and K. Snow, 2000. The Monarch h
- amics in Western North Amenica Emphasis on Monterey and San Luis Otsapo Counti tana Wildemess Society and California Polytechnic Sate University. California. n. S. L. J. F. Matusik, M. Maythofer, J. J. Mayer, and J. W. Scott. 2002. Central Coast Me Herl'h Resort. Ventraa Wildemess Society's Bio Saro-Ombiolooy Lab. Bio Sar. Californi
- no, C., and J. Lane. 1985. A survey of the locatio overwintering colonies in the state of California, U.S. Monarch Project; Portland, OR I, W., and W. Calvert. 1991. Statewide Monarch B erfly Management Plan for the State of
- arment of Parka and Recreation Final Report. Ln College, 1900 Pico Blvd., Santa Monica, CA 90405. e9, 2004. Hastings Archived Meteorological Data. wrb.noaa.gov/climate.html≻(2 June 2004).
- brombarg, M. (Smith) 100 shttp://newweb.wch.nosa.gov/climate.html > [2 June 20 Wolls, S. M., R. M. Pyle, and N. M. Collins. 1983. The IUCN Is Wolls, S. M., R. M. Pyle, and N. M. Collins. 1983. The IUCN Is Structure of Nature and Nature and





